

California Trail Users Coalition
<p>Comments submitted by the OHV Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHV Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.</p> <p>Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.</p>
General Evaluation Criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #1C – Applicant lists several forests within the General Criteria Requirements that are not appropriate. • #4 – Box checked does not appear to be consistent with previous grant requests by the land manager. Additionally, the Reference Document information appears to be inconsistent with previous grant requests by the land manager. • #7B – The explanation does not appear to support the box checked. • #7C – The explanation does not appear to support the box checked. • #11 – The information in the Reference Document section does not appear to support the “yes” answer. • #12A – The explanation does not appear to support the “yes” answer. • #12B – The explanation does not appear to support the “yes” answer.

Ground Operations Project – Sot SNF	G08-xx-xx-G01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Costs for Garmin GPS Unit and related supplies does not appear to be supported by project description. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #5 – Applicant appears to list itself as a partner. An applicant can not use itself as a partner. • #6 – Applicant checking “Site design precludes the need for the above measures” appears to be inconsistent with land managers design of land. 	

Ground Operation Project – Angeles NF	G08-xx-xx-G02
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> As currently written, the description does not appear to have a nexus to OHV recreation. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> As currently written, staff costs for Archeologist and Planner is not supported in the project description. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> #2 – The explanation does not appear to support the box checked. #5 – It appears that the applicant is including itself as a partner. Applicant can not use itself as a partner. 	

Education and Safety Project – Trail Maps	G08-xx-xx-S01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> It appears that under the Staff category – “Other – Grant Administration” would be more appropriate under the Administrative Cost category. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> #7 – It appears that the project description and activities do not support the boxes that were checked. #9 – It appears that the project description and activities would likely result in applicant checking “1 minute to less than 5 minutes” #10 – It appears that the project description and activities do not support all the boxes checked. 	

Education and Safety Project – Host Program	G08-xx-xx-S02
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> It appears that the statement of activity or product is not sufficient enough to describe the project sufficiently. 	

Project Cost Estimate
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It appears that under the Staff category, “Other – CTUC Administrator” would be more appropriate under the “Administrative Costs” category. • As currently written, the project description and activities do not support the costs for laptop computers and accessories.
Evaluation Criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #5 – It appears that the box checked for “Other – Mtn Biking” is inappropriate.